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**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

1. To confirm the name of the Penwortham Bypass

**PORTFOLIO RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That Cabinet note the name of the Penwortham Bypass – to be announced at the meeting

**REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

1. The Penwortham Bypass needs a name

**CORPORATE PRIORITIES**

1. The report relates to the following corporate priorities:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Excellence and Financial Sustainability |  |
| Health and Wellbeing |  |
| Place | x |

Projects relating to People in the Corporate Plan:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| People  |  |

**BACKGROUND TO THE REPORT**

1. Name suggestions for the Penwortham Bypass were invited from the primary and secondary schools close to the route of the new road.
2. The Penwortham Bypass is a City Deal project and name suggestions were considered by a panel comprising: South Ribble BoroughCouncil Leader, Broad Oak ward Councillor (Cllr Harry Hancock), Howick & Priory ward Councillor (Cllr David Howarth), LCC City Deal representative, LEP representative.
3. The name was chosen on 29 August 2019.

**PROPOSALS (e.g. RATIONALE, DETAIL, FINANCIAL, PROCUREMENT)**

1. The name suggestions were entered by schools as a competition, so to enable advising the winner and co-ordinating publicity the chosen name will be announced at the meeting.

**CONSULTATION CARRIED OUT AND OUTCOME OF CONSULTATION**

1. The name ideas were suggested by local school children and ward Councillors were included in the process.

**ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED**

1. Various options were considered to generate a selection of name suggestions.

**Financial implications**

1. None

**LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

1. None

**AIR QUALITY IMPLICATIONS**

1. None

**Human Resources and Organisational Development implications**

1. None

**ICT/technology implications**

1. None

**Property and Asset Management implications**

1. The Penwortham Bypass will be maintained by Lancashire County Council with no implications for South Ribble Borough Council.

**RISK MANAGEMENT**

1. None

**EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPACT**

1. None

**RELEVANT DIRECTORS RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That Cabinet note the name of the Penwortham Bypass – to be announced at the meeting

**COMMENTS OF THE STATUTORY FINANCE OFFICER**

1. There are no financial implications.

**COMMENTS OF THE MONITORING OFFICER**

1. There are no issues of concern to raise.

**There are no background papers to this report**

**There are no appendices to this report**
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